

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/752,227	12/29/2000	Joseph E. Johnson	97078CIPDIV1	5132	
7:	590 10/06/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
Cabot Corporation			SHOSHO, CALLIE E		
Law Department 157 Concord Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Billerica, MA 01821			1714		
			DATE MAILED: 10/06/2009	5 .	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	\wedge /		h/			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/752,227	JOHNSON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Callie E. Shosho	1714				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap			dress			
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this co ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 J	ulv 2005					
	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		atters, prosecution as to the	merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>57-67,70-72,80,82 and 84-86</u> is/are p	pending in the application).				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) <u>86</u> is/are allowed.						
6)区 Claim(s) <u>57,59-62,65-67,80,82,84 and 85</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>58,63,64 and 70-72</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawir	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies no	ot received.				
•						
			174			
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		f Informal Patent Application (PTO	⊢152)			

Application Number: 09/752,227 Page 2

Art Unit: 1714

DETAILED ACTION

1. All outstanding rejections except for those described below are overcome by applicants' amendment filed 7/20/05.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993), *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 57, 59-62, 65-67, 80, 82, and 84-85 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 8-9, and 11-

Art Unit: 1714

14 of U.S. Patent No. 6,478,863 (Johnson et al.). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because of the explanation given in paragraph 3 of the office action mailed 2/24/05 which is incorporated here by reference.

Response to arguments regarding Double Patenting

4. In response to the double patenting rejection of record, applicants filed a terminal disclaimer on 7/20/05.

However, the terminal disclaimer filed on 7/20/05 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of U.S. 6,478,863 has been reviewed and is NOT accepted.

Specifically, the person who signed the terminal disclaimer is not recognized as an officer of the assignee, and he/she has not been established as being authorized to act on behalf of the assignee. See MPEP § 324.

An attorney or agent, not of record, is not authorized to sign a terminal disclaimer in the capacity as an attorney or agent acting in a representative capacity as provided by 37 CFR 1.34 (a). See 37 CFR 1.321(b) and/or (c).

It is noted that it would be acceptable for a person, other than a recognized officer, to sign a terminal disclaimer, <u>provided</u> the record for the application includes a statement that the person is empowered to sign terminal disclaimers and/or act on behalf of the organization. Accordingly, a new terminal disclaimer which includes the above empowerment statement will be considered to be signed by an appropriate official of the assignee. A separately filed paper referencing the

Art Unit: 1714

previously filed terminal disclaimer and containing a proper empowerment statement would also be acceptable.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claim 86 is allowable for the reasons set forth in paragraph 9 of the office action mailed 2/24/05 which is incorporated here by reference.
- 6. Claims 58 and 63-64 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 58 and 63-64 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form for the reasons set forth in paragraph 10 of the office action mailed 2/24/05 which is incorporated here by reference.

7. Claims 70-72 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 70-72 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form as described above for the following reasons.

Sano et al. (U.S. 5,690,723) disclose ink jet ink comprising aqueous vehicle, polymer including copolymer obtained from styrene and acrylate, and pigment that is surface treated with resin. However, there is no disclosure in Sano et al. of specific modified pigment as presently

Application Number: 09/752,227 Page 5

Art Unit: 1714

claimed. In order to meet the requirement in claims 70-72 regarding the pigment, Sano et al. was combined with either Cooke et al. (U.S. 6,110,994) or Hall et al. (U.S. 5,552,458)

Cooke et al. disclose modified pigment comprising pigment having attached group of the formula Ar-CO₂-R where A is aromatic group corresponding to presently claimed X and R is polymer such as polyamide or polyester. However, there is no disclosure or suggestion that the polymer is polycarbonate, polyether, polyimide, polyurethane, or polyvinyl alcohol as required in present claims 70-72.

Hall et al. disclose modified pigment having attached group of the formula R²-Si-A-X wherein R¹ and R³ are each C₁-C₁₀ alkyl group, A is divalent radical, and X is attached to polymeric backbone and wherein X is then reacted with reactive functionality on a polymer backbone so that the modified pigment comprises pigment with attached group that is substituted with polymer. The polymer includes polyurethane and polyester. However, the above attached group is attached to the pigment through –Si-O-M bonds where M represents surface metal on the pigment material such as aluminum, gold, copper, silver, etc. There is no disclosure or suggestion that the pigment has directly attached aromatic or alkyl group which is substituted with polymer as required in present claims 70-72. Rather, the attachment in Hall et al. is through –Si-O-M bond not aromatic or alkyl group.

Conclusion

8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application Number: 09/752,227

Art Unit: 1714

Page 6

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Callie E. Shosho whose telephone number is 571-272-1123. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (6:30-4:00) Alternate Fridays Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on 571-272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application Number: 09/752,227 Page 7

Art Unit: 1714

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Callie E. Shosho Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1714

CS

10/1/05